

Statistical Analysis Method for Assessing Drop-shock Reliability of OLED module for Portable Devices

S.-J. Kim¹, B. M. Mun³, D. Kwon², and S. J. Bae^{3*}

¹Department of Industrial Engineering, Chosun University, Gwangju, Korea

²School of Mechanical Engineering, Konkuk University, Seoul, Korea

³Department of Industrial Engineering, Hanyang University, Seoul, Korea

*Corresponding author: sjbae@hanyang.ac.kr

Nowadays, mobile electronic devices with a large multi-function display, such as smartphones and tablet computers, are widely used and have become an essential and ubiquitous part of our lives. Meanwhile, the average display size of mobile devices has been steadily increased while the thickness has been reduced. This makes the display module more vulnerable to drop impact which is inevitable during use of the mobile device. Drop impact is known as one of the most frequent causes of failure of mobile devices. Since the multifunction display is the main way users interacted with the mobile devices, the reliability of the display module by drop impact is one of the most important concerns for both manufacturers and customers.

In recent decades, there have been extensive studies on drop impact. Most existing approaches can be categorized into two groups [1]: numerical method and experimental method. The experimental method can be again divided into two types: the free fall method and shock method (or constrained drop method). As the names suggest, both methods have their own pros and cons.

The free fall method can mimic a realistic drop impact, while it is difficult to control the exact direction of impact and has less repeatability. Conversely, the shock method can precisely control the amplitude and duration of shock, while it is difficult to replicate its real drop impact dynamics. In general, the shock method is the most common and favorable for drop testing at component level such as mobile display modules while the free fall method is more suitable for product level [1, 2]. Therefore, in this study, we focus on the shock method.

For the shock method, there are several international standards for the drop impact test. Based on the dam-age boundary curve [3], a drop test standard for a general purpose was established by ASTM [4]. The drop test standards for electronic devices at component level are established by JEDEC [5, 6], ETSI [7] and IEC [8, 9].

Lim and Low [10] examined the drop impact response of a cellular phone by the free fall method at difference impact orientations and heights. The high-speed camera photographs, the impact force,

the shock level, and the dynamic strains measured on the PCB board were obtained and analyzed. Yu et al. [11] proposed a shock-based drop test method at PCB board level and per-formed comparisons with the finite element analysis results. Chung et al. [12] investigated drop reliability of glass panel for LCD. In the study, three-point bending and free fall drop test of LCD panel with test jig were performed. The finite element analysis was performed to verify the drop test method. Mattila et al. [13] investigated the shock method at board level to better represent the user loading conditions of mobile devices. To do so, eight smartphones from different companies were evaluated by free-fall drop test and the drop response of mobile devices were characterized. Wong et al. [14] presented a review of the drop impact reliability that focus-es on lead-free solder joints of PCB for mobile devices. Yau and Hua [2] presented a comprehensive review of the drop impact test methods for mobile devices. They summarized the progress and development of drop impact test and analysis methods on mobile electronic de-vices.

To date, most existing research focuses on the investigation of the underlying failure mechanism of drop impact and the development of the test method that can mimic actual drop impact. It has, however, paid less attention to the application to reliability assessment from a statistical modeling perspective.

In practice, a prediction of failure of drop impact is important to assess the reliability of mobile devices at both the development and production stage. There are two major sources of uncertainty in the drop test: inherent variation in test item and limitation of the drop impact test method.

The former issue has been addressed in some works [12, 15, 16] by employing the Weibull distribution and Inverse-Gaussian distribution to modeling the drop impact failure data. Using the estimated statistical model, prediction of the p-th quantiles and MTTF was presented. To the best of our knowledge, however, the later issue is not sufficiently addressed yet. Since drop test is conducted by gradually increasing drop height until the failure is observed, we can only know the interval of the failure height, but not the exact value.

This is called “interval-censored”. It can lead to significant bias in prediction unless an appropriate modeling method is employed to address this problem.

In addition, there are three decision variables to be determined in advance of a drop impact test: the initial drop height, the increasing interval, and the number of test items. When conducting a drop impact test, practitioners need to balance a trade-off between the total cost of the test and the accuracy of the analysis. However, there is a lack of literature that specifically focuses on the planning of the drop impact test procedure.

In this paper, we propose a drop-impact reliability assessment method for display module of mobile devices using a statistical modeling approach. First, we introduce a statistical reliability model for drop impact failure having left-, right- and interval-censored data. Then, we propose a drop impact test planning method that minimizes the estimation error while meeting the total cost constraints. The proposed method is demonstrated by simulation studies. Drop impact datasets of the OLED module for portable devices are used to illustrate the proposed method.

References

- [1] Y. H. Yau and S. N. Hua, A comprehensive review of drop impact modeling on portable electronic devices, *Applied Mechanics Reviews*, 64(2) (2011) 020803.
- [2] S. Goyal and E. K. Buratynski, Methods for Realistic Drop-Testing, *The International Journal of Microcircuits and Electronic Packaging*, 23 (2000) 45-52.
- [3] R. E. Newton, Fragility assessment theory and test procedure, Monterey Research Laboratory, Inc., Monterey, CA, (1968).
- [4] ASTM D3332-99, Standard Test Methods for Mechanical-Shock Fragility of Products, Using Shock Machines, ASTM International, (1999).
- [5] JESD22-B111, Board level drop test method of components for handheld electronic products, JEDEC Solid State Technology Association, (2003).
- [6] JESD22-B110A, Subassembly mechanical shock, JEDEC Solid State Technology Association, (2004).
- [7] ESTI EN 300 019-2-7, Environmental Engineering; Environmental conditions and environmental tests for telecommunications equipment; Part 2-7: Specification of Environmental Tests; Portable and Non-stationary use, European Standard, (2003).
- [8] IEC 60068-2-31, Environmental testing - Part 2-31: Tests - Test Ec: Rough handling shocks, primarily for equipment-type specimens, International Electrotechnical Commission, (2008).
- [9] IEC 62137-1-3, Surface mounting technology – Environmental and endurance test methods for surface mount solder joint – Part 1-3: Cyclic drop test, International Electrotechnical Commission, (2008).
- [10] C. T. Lim and Y. J. Low, Investigating the drop impact of portable electronics products, *Electronic Components and Technology Conference*, (2002) 1270-1274.
- [11] Q. Yu, K. Watanabe, T. Tsurusawa, M. Shiratori, M. Kakino, and N. Fujiwara, The examination of the Drop impact Test Method, Thermal and Thermomechanical Phenomena in Electronic Systems Conference, 2 (2004) 336-342.
- [12] S.-W. Chung, J.-W. Jeong, S. Oh, Y.-J. Moon, I.-Y. Baek, and D.-S. Hong, Drop Reliability of Glass Panel for LCD, 2011 IEEE 61st Electronic Components and Technology Conference, (2011) 1250-1254.
- [13] T. T. Mattila, L. Vajavaara, J. Hokka, E. Hussa, M. Mäkelä, and V. Halkola, An approach to board-level drop reliability evaluation with improved correlation with use conditions, 2013 IEEE 63rd Electronic Components and Technology Conference, (2013) 1259-1268.
- [14] E. H. Wong, S. K. Seah, and V. P. W. Shim, A review of board level solder joints for mobile applications, *Journal of Microelectronics Reliability*, 48(11-12) (2008) 1747-1758.
- [15] S. Kwon, B. Y. Lee, and S.H. Park, Investigation of the failure of a liquid crystal display panel under mechanical shock, *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part C: Journal of Mechanical Engineering Science*, 221 (2007) 1475-1482.
- [16] M.S. Kim and S. J. Bae, Drop fragility of the display of a smart mobile phone: weakest link failure or cumulative shock failure?, *IIE Transactions*, 46 (2014) 1079-1092.